

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/937,942	10/02/2001	Royce W. Johnson	VAC.483	8824
30159	7590 05/26/2005		EXAMINER	
ATTN: LEGAL-MANUFACTURING			TRUONG, LINH T	
	ONCEPTS, INC.		ADTIBUT	DADED MUMBED
P.O. BOX 659508		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN ANTONIO, TX 78265-9508		3761		

DATE MAILED: 05/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Mh				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/937,942	JOHNSON, ROYCE W.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Linh Truong	3761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed  /s will be considered timely. If the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		!				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>.</u>					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊡ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
,—	•					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-5,7 and 11 is/are pending in the app	Claim(s) <u>1-5,7 and 11</u> is/are pending in the application.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	· · · ———					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5, 7, and11</u> is/are rejected.						
•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)☐ All · b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority document	· ·					
2. Certified copies of the priority document						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	e <b>c</b> .				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) [] Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal I	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Art Unit: 3761

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Response to Arguments**

Applicant's arguments are not considered to be persuasive. In response to Applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case because both Argenta's and Collyer's inventions are in the wound care art, and therefore, can be combined. Collyer's invention, furthermore, is drawn to an absorbent wound dressing that can simultaneously absorb wound fluids and medicate the wound area. Applicant also does not specifically claim "medicating a wound while utilizing negative pressure to remove all fluids from the wound" (2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph of p.7 of Applicant's argument). Per Applicants' argument that Gibbins does not read on claims 2-5 and 11, the examiner respectfully disagrees. Applicant also does not specifically claim: "...the negative pressure action actually causing tissues to be drawn into the microrecesses of the porous foam and achieve a much higher level of intimate contact..." (2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph of pg. 8 of Applicant's arguments). Applicant also admitted that Gibbins have a few contact points; there is no where in the Applicants' claims that the Applicant's invention

Art Unit: 3761

have to have many contact points. The examiner maintains the rejections in the rejection below.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.
Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Argenta et al. WO 94120041 in view of Collyer et al. '5,973,221.

For claims 1 and 7 Argenta et al. teach a method for wound treatment comprising reepithelializing a wound surface (p.7, lines 19-24) with a negative pressure system comprising of: a porous pad 610, a tube 611with first end in fluid communication with the pad and a second end connected to a vacuum 25, and a wound drape (612) (figure 1). Argenta et al., however, do not teach a porous pad predisposed with a wound healing factor. Pre-medicated dressings are well known in the art; it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have wound healing factors incorporated within the dressings because they come in direct contact with the wound, and therefore, would promote faster healing of wounds. For example, Collyer et al. teaches a porous pad that can be

Art Unit: 3761

impregnated with antiseptic and/or other medicament (cot. 3, lines 53-56). Therefore it would be obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to substitute the porous pad of Argenta for the porous pad of Collyer et al. for more efficient wound healing.

Claims 2-5 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Argenta et at. WO 94120041 in view of Collyer et al. '5,973,221 and in further view of Gibbins '6,355,858.

For claims 2-5 and 11, both Argenta et al. and Collyer et at. do not teach that the wound healing factor comprises of basic fibroblast growth factor and an anti-microbial that is an antibiotic. Since it is well known in the art that wounds, especially burns, are a destruction of skin tissue, wound healing would occur much faster when skin tissue regrows. Basic fibroblast growth factor promotes the growth of the endothelial cells of the skin; thus, it would be effective at increasing growth rate of new skin. It is also well known in the art that anti- microbial such as an antibiotic inhibit infections of wounds. Gibbins teach incorporating basic fibroblast growth factor and an anti-microbial such as streptomycin (cot. 6, line 49- col.7, line 14) as one of many active ingredients that can be incorporated or grafted onto a dressing. And since Collyer et al. teach a pad that can be incorporated with medicament, it would be obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to provide the combined inventions of Argenta et at. and Collyer et al. with a porous pad that is predisposed with basic fibroblast growth factor and streptomycin to inhibit the growth of harmful microbials and promote faster wound heating.

**Art Unit: 3761** 

### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Linh Truong whose telephone number is 571-272-4938. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Fridays from 10am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry Schwartz can be reached on 571-272-4390. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/937,942 Page 6

**Art Unit: 3761** 

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Linh Truong

\*\*\* Link frug

Larry I. Schwartz
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3700